arXiv:1208.1308v1 [math.NT] 7 Aug 2012

Infinite dimensional digital sequences over $\mathbb{F}_2$ achieving optimal $\mathcal{L}_q$ discrepancy

Josef Dick *

Abstract

In this paper we provide explicit constructions of digital sequences $\mathcal{S} = (\boldsymbol{x}_0, \boldsymbol{x}_1, \ldots,)$ over the finite field $\mathbb{F}_2$ in $[0,1)^{\mathbb{N}}$ whose projection onto the first s coordinates $\boldsymbol{x}_0^{(s)}, \boldsymbol{x}_1^{(s)}, \ldots$ for all $s \ge 1$, has $\mathcal{L}_q$ discrepancy bounded by

$$\mathcal{L}_q(\{\boldsymbol{x}_0^{(s)}, \boldsymbol{x}_1^{(s)}, \ldots, \boldsymbol{x}_{N-1}^{(s)}\}) \le C_{q,s} \frac{(\log N)^{s/2}}{N}$$

for all $N \ge 2$ and $2 \le q < \infty$, and

$$\mathcal{L}_q(\{\boldsymbol{x}_0^{(s)}, \boldsymbol{x}_1^{(s)}, \ldots, \boldsymbol{x}_{2^m-1}^{(s)}\}) \le C_{q,s} \frac{m^{(s-1)/2}}{2^m}$$

for all $m \ge 1$ and $2 \le q < \infty$, where $C_{q,s} > 0$ is a constant independent of N and m. These results are best possible by a lower bound of Proinov [P.D. Proinov, On the L^2 discrepancy of some infinite sequences. Serdica, **11**, 3–12, 1985.] and Roth [K. F. Roth, On irregularities of distribution. Mathematika, **1** (1954), 73–79.]. Further we give explicit constructions of finite point sets $\boldsymbol{y}_0, \boldsymbol{y}_1, \ldots, \boldsymbol{y}_{N-1}$ in $[0,1)^{\mathbb{N}}$ for all $N \ge 2$ such that their projection on the first s coordinates $\boldsymbol{y}_0^{(s)}, \boldsymbol{y}_1^{(s)}, \ldots, \boldsymbol{y}_{N-1}^{(s)}$ in $[0,1)^s$ for all $s \ge 1$ satisfies

$$\mathcal{L}_q(\{\boldsymbol{y}_0, \boldsymbol{y}_1, \ldots, \boldsymbol{y}_{2^m-1}\}) \le C_{q,s} \frac{m^{(s-1)/2}}{2^m}$$

for all $m \ge 1$ and $2 \le q < \infty$, where $C_{q,s} > 0$ is again independent of N. The result for finite point sets in $[0,1)^s$ was first shown in [M. M. Skriganov, Harmonic analysis on totally disconnected groups and irregularities of point distributions. J. Reine Angew. Math., **600** (2006), 25–49.].

Keywords: uniform distribution, equidistribution, discrepancy, digital net, digital sequence, higher order digital net, higher order digital sequence

AMS Subject Classification: Primary: 11K38; Secondary: 11K06, 11K45;

1 Introduction

The $\mathcal{L}_q$ discrepancy is a measure of the equidistribution properties of a point set $\mathcal{P}_{N,s} = \{\boldsymbol{x}_0, \boldsymbol{x}_1, \ldots, \boldsymbol{x}_{N-1}\}$ in the unit cube $[0,1]^s$ [1, 16]. It is based on the local discrepancy function

$$\delta(\mathcal{P}_{N,s}; \boldsymbol{\theta}) = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{n=0}^{N-1} 1_{[\boldsymbol{0},\boldsymbol{\theta})}(\boldsymbol{x}_n) - \prod_{j=1}^{s} \theta_j,$$

---

*The author is supported by a Queen Elizabeth 2 Fellowship of the Australian Research Council.

where $\boldsymbol{\theta} = (\theta_1, \ldots, \theta_s)$, $[\mathbf{0}, \boldsymbol{\theta}) = \prod_{j=1}^{s} [0, \theta_j)$, and $1_{[\mathbf{0},\boldsymbol{\theta})}$ denotes the characteristic function of the interval $[\mathbf{0}, \boldsymbol{\theta})$. The $\mathcal{L}_q$ discrepancy is then the $\mathcal{L}_q$ norm of the discrepancy function

$$\mathcal{L}_q(\mathcal{P}_{N,s}) = \left(\int_{[0,1]^s} |\delta(\mathcal{P}_{N,s}, \boldsymbol{\theta})|^q \, \mathrm{d}\boldsymbol{\theta} \right)^{1/q}$$

with the obvious modifications for $q = \infty$. One of the questions on irregularities of distribution is concerned with the precise order of convergence of

$$\mathcal{L}_{q,N,s} = \inf_{\substack{\mathcal{P}_{N,s} \subset [0,1]^s \\ |\mathcal{P}_{N,s}| = N}} \mathcal{L}_q(\mathcal{P}_{N,s}),$$

and the explicit construction of point sets which achieve the optimal rate of convergence of the $\mathcal{L}_q$ discrepancy [1]. (Such point sets are of use for instance in quasi-Monte Carlo integration [12, 10, 20].)

In the following we write $A(N, q, s) \ll_{q,s} B(N, q, s)$ if there is a constant $c_{q,s} > 0$ which depends only on s and q (but not on N) such that $A(N, q, s) \leq c_{q,s} B(N, q, s)$, with analogous meanings for $\ll_s, \gg_{q,s}, \gg_s$.

The classic lower bound on the $\mathcal{L}_q$ discrepancy is by Roth [24] and ascertains that

$$\mathcal{L}_{q,N,s} \gg_s \frac{(\log N)^{(s-1)/2}}{N} \quad \text{for all } N, q, s \geq 2.$$

This result is known to be best possible for $q = 2$ as shown first by Davenport [6] for $s = 2$ and then by Roth [25, 26] and Frolov [15] for arbitrary dimensions $s \in \mathbb{N}$, see also [1]. First explicit constructions of point sets matching the lower bound where provided by Chen and Skriganov [4] and for $2 \leq q < \infty$ by Skriganov [27]. The last two papers completely solved the open problem of finding explicit constructions of finite point sets with optimal $\mathcal{L}_q$ discrepancy. On the other hand, the $\mathcal{L}_\infty$ discrepancy, called star discrepancy, is much harder, the exact order of convergence is not known [2, 3]. In this paper we focus on $2 \leq q < \infty$.

A lower bound for infinite sequences of points was shown by Proinov [22], which states that there is a constant $C_s > 0$ such that for all infinite sequences $(\boldsymbol{x}_0, \boldsymbol{x}_1, \ldots)$ in the unit cube $[0, 1)^s$ one has

$$\mathcal{L}_2(\{\boldsymbol{x}_0, \boldsymbol{x}_1, \ldots, \boldsymbol{x}_{N-1}\}) \gg_s \frac{(\log N)^{s/2}}{N}, \tag{1}$$

for infinitely many values of N. In other words, one cannot construct an infinite sequence of points such that its first N points match Roth's lower bound for all values of N. An explicit construction of an infinite sequence of points $(\boldsymbol{x}_0, \boldsymbol{x}_1, \ldots)$ which satisfies

$$\mathcal{L}_2(\{\boldsymbol{x}_0, \boldsymbol{x}_1, \ldots, \boldsymbol{x}_{N-1}\}) \ll_s \frac{(\log N)^{s/2}}{N} \quad \text{for all } N \geq 2,$$

was provided in [11]. Further the sequences from [11] match Roth's lower bound for infinitely many values of N, more precisely, for $N = 2^m$ one obtains

$$\mathcal{L}_2(\{\boldsymbol{x}_0, \boldsymbol{x}_1, \ldots, \boldsymbol{x}_{2^m-1}\}) \ll_s \frac{m^{(s-1)/2}}{2^m} \quad \text{for all } m \geq 1.$$

1.1 The results

In this paper we are concerned with extending the results in [11] to the $\mathcal{L}_q$ discrepancy for $q \geq 2$. In particular, we show the following theorem.

Theorem 1 *One can explicitly construct an infinite sequence* $\mathcal{S} = (\boldsymbol{x}_0, \boldsymbol{x}_1, \boldsymbol{x}_2, \ldots)$ *of points in* $[0,1)^{\mathbb{N}}$ *such that for all* $s \geq 1$*, the projection of* $\mathcal{S}$ *onto the first* s *coordinates* $\boldsymbol{x}_0^{(s)}, \boldsymbol{x}_1^{(s)}, \ldots, \boldsymbol{x}_N^{(s)} \in [0,1)^s$ *satisfies*

$$\mathcal{L}_q(\{\boldsymbol{x}_0^{(s)}, \boldsymbol{x}_1^{(s)}, \ldots, \boldsymbol{x}_{N-1}^{(s)}\}) \ll_{q,s} \frac{(\log N)^{s/2}}{N}$$

and

$$\mathcal{L}_q(\{\boldsymbol{x}_0^{(s)}, \boldsymbol{x}_1^{(s)}, \ldots, \boldsymbol{x}_{2^m-1}^{(s)}\}) \ll_{q,s} \frac{m^{(s-1)/2}}{2^m} \quad \textit{for all } m \geq 1.$$

The sequences are digital sequences constructed over the finite field $\mathbb{F}_2$ and are therefore different from the construction in [4, 27]. Using an idea from [4] we can also obtain explicit constructions of finite point sets for $N \geq 2$ and $s \geq 1$. Such constructions of finite point sets were first given in [4] for the $\mathcal{L}_2$ discrepancy and [27] for the $\mathcal{L}_q$ discrepancy.

Corollary 1 *For every* $N \geq 2$ *and* $s \geq 1$ *one can explicitly construct a point set* $\mathcal{P}_N = (\boldsymbol{x}_0, \boldsymbol{x}_1, \ldots, \boldsymbol{x}_{N-1})$ *of points in* $[0,1)^{\mathbb{N}}$ *such that for all* $s \geq 1$*, the projection of* $\mathcal{P}_N$ *onto the first* s *coordinates* $\boldsymbol{x}_0^{(s)}, \boldsymbol{x}_1^{(s)}, \ldots, \boldsymbol{x}_N^{(s)} \in [0,1)^s$ *satisfies*

$$\mathcal{L}_q(\{\boldsymbol{x}_0^{(s)}, \boldsymbol{x}_1^{(s)}, \ldots, \boldsymbol{x}_{N-1}^{(s)}\}) \ll_{q,s} \frac{(\log N)^{(s-1)/2}}{N} \quad \textit{for all } N \geq 2.$$

1.2 Explicit construction of sequences

The construction of sequences on the concept of digital sequences [10, 19, 20, 21, 28] over the two-element finite field $\mathbb{F}_2$. Let $C_j \in \mathbb{F}_2^{\mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N}}$ for $j \in \mathbb{N}$ be $\mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N}$ matrices over $\mathbb{F}_2$, the finite field of order 2. Let $n = n_0 + n_1 2 + \cdots + n_{m-1} 2^{m-1} \in \mathbb{N}_0$ be the dyadic expansion of n. Set $\vec{n} = (n_0, n_1, \ldots)^\top \in \mathbb{F}_2^{\mathbb{N}}$ where $n_k = 0$ for $k \geq m$. Then define

$$\vec{x}_{j,n} = C_j \vec{n}$$

Let $\vec{x}_{j,n} = (x_{j,n,1}, x_{j,n,2}, \ldots)^\top$ and define

$$x_{j,n} = x_{j,n,1} 2^{-1} + x_{j,n,2} 2^{-2} + \cdots .$$

Then the nth point $\boldsymbol{x}_n$ of the point set is given by $\boldsymbol{x}_n = (x_{1,n}, x_{2,n}, \ldots) \in [0,1)^{\mathbb{N}}$. We say that $\mathcal{S} = \{\boldsymbol{x}_0, \boldsymbol{x}_1, \ldots\}$ is a digital sequence (with generating matrices $(C_j)_{j \in \mathbb{N}}$).

Explicit constructions of suitable generating matrices $C_j \in \mathbb{F}_2^{\mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N}}$ were obtained by Sobol' [28], Niederreiter [19], Niederreiter-Xing [21] and others (see also [10, Chapter 8]). To make the construction fully explicit, we briefly describe a special case of Sobol's and Niederreiter's construction of the generating matrices. Let $C_j = (c_{j,k,\ell})_{k,\ell \in \mathbb{N}}$ with $c_{j,k,\ell} \in \mathbb{F}_2$. Let $p_1 = x$ and $p_i \in \mathbb{F}_2[x]$ be the $(i-1)$st primitive polynomial in a list of primitive polynomials over $\mathbb{F}_2$ that is sorted in increasing order according to their degree $e_j = \deg(p_j)$, that is, $e_1 \leq e_2 \leq \cdots$ (the ordering of polynomials with the same degree is irrelevant; further, one could also use irreducible polynomials instead of primitive polynomials). Consider the Laurent series expansion

$$\frac{x^{e_j - z - 1}}{p_j(x)^i} = \sum_{\ell=1}^{\infty} a_\ell(i,j,z) x^{-\ell} \in \mathbb{F}_2((x^{-1})).$$

Then for $j \geq 1$, $k, \ell \geq 1$ we define

$$c_{j,k,\ell} = a_\ell(i, j, z),$$

where $k - 1 = (i-1)e_j + z$ with integers v and i satisfying $0 \leq z < e_j$.

To obtain the point sets which satisfies Theorem 1 we need the following definition.

Definition 1 For an integer $d \geq 1$ the digit interlacing composition (with interlacing factor d) is defined by

$$\begin{array}{rcl} \mathscr{D}_d : [0,1)^d & \to & [0,1) \\ (x_1, \ldots, x_d) & \mapsto & \displaystyle\sum_{a=1}^{\infty} \sum_{r=1}^{d} \xi_{r,a} b^{-r-(a-1)d}, \end{array}$$

where $x_r = \xi_{r,1} b^{-1} + \xi_{r,2} b^{-2} + \cdots$ for $1 \leq r \leq d$. We also define this function for vectors by setting

$$\begin{array}{rcl} \mathscr{D}_d : [0,1)^{\mathbb{N}} & \to & [0,1)^{\mathbb{N}} \\ (x_1, x_2, \ldots) & \mapsto & (\mathscr{D}_d(x_1, \ldots, x_d), \mathscr{D}_d(x_{d+1}, \ldots, x_{2d}), \ldots), \end{array}$$

and also for point sets $\mathcal{P}_N = \{\boldsymbol{x}_0, \boldsymbol{x}_1, \ldots, \boldsymbol{x}_{N-1}\} \subseteq [0,1)^{\mathbb{N}}$ by

$$\mathscr{D}_d(\mathcal{P}_N) = \{\mathscr{D}_d(\boldsymbol{x}_0), \mathscr{D}_d(\boldsymbol{x}_1), \ldots, \mathscr{D}_d(\boldsymbol{x}_{N-1})\} \subseteq [0,1)^{\mathbb{N}}.$$

Let $C_j \in \mathbb{F}_2^{\mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N}}$ be defined as above (for instance based on Sobol's or Niederreiter's construction) and let $\mathcal{S} = (\boldsymbol{x}_0, \boldsymbol{x}_1, \ldots)$ in $[0,1)^{\mathbb{N}}$ denote the digital sequence obtained from these generating matrices. Then the sequence $\mathscr{D}_2(\mathcal{S}) \subset [0,1)^{\mathbb{N}}$ satisfies Theorem 1. More generally, for every $\alpha \geq 2$ the point set $\mathscr{D}_\alpha(\mathcal{S}) \subset [0,1)^{\mathbb{N}}$ satisfies Theorem 1.

Note that the point set $\mathscr{D}_2(\{\boldsymbol{x}_0, \boldsymbol{x}_1, \ldots, \boldsymbol{x}_{2^m-1}\})$ is again a digital net, its generating matrices can be obtained from $C_1, \ldots, C_{2s}$ by an interlacing of the rows of the C_j analogous to the interlacing of the digits [8].

To obtain a point set consisting of N points we use a propagation rule introduced in [4]. We show below that the subset

$$\widetilde{\mathcal{P}}_{N,s} := \mathscr{D}_2(\mathcal{P}_{2^m,2s}) \cap \left(\left[0, \frac{N}{2^m}\right) \times [0,1)^{s-1} \right)$$

contains exactly N points. Then we define the point set

$$\mathcal{P}_{N,s} := \left\{ \left(\frac{2^m}{N} x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_s \right) : (x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_s) \in \widetilde{\mathcal{P}}_{N,s} \right\}. \qquad (2)$$

Then $\mathcal{P}_{N,s}$ satisfies the bound in Corollary 2.

1.3 The essential property

In [8] it was shown that the generating matrices $C_1, \ldots, C_s$ of the digital net $\mathscr{D}_\alpha(\mathcal{P}_{2^m,\alpha s})$ introduced above have the following property.

Definition 2 Let $m, \alpha \geq 1$ and $0 \leq t \leq \alpha m$ be natural numbers. Let $\mathbb{F}_2$ be the finite field of order 2 and let $C_1, \ldots, C_s \in \mathbb{F}_2^{m \times m}$ with $C_j = (c_{j,1}, \ldots, c_{j,m})^\top$. If for all $1 \leq i_{j,\nu_j} < \cdots < i_{j,1} \leq m$ with

$$\sum_{j=1}^{s} \sum_{l=1}^{\min(\nu_j,\alpha)} i_{j,l} \leq \alpha m - t$$

the vectors

$$c_{1,i_{1,\nu_1}}, \ldots, c_{1,i_{1,1}}, \ldots, c_{s,i_{s,\nu_s}}, \ldots, c_{s,i_{s,1}}$$

are linearly independent over $\mathbb{F}_2$, then the digital net with generating matrices $C_1, \ldots, C_s$ is called an order α digital (t, m, s)-net over $\mathbb{F}_2$.

Definition 3 Let $\alpha \in \mathbb{N}$ and let $t \geq 0$ be an integer. Let $C_1, \ldots, C_s \in \mathbb{F}_2^{\mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N}}$ and let $C_{j,m \times m}$ denote the left upper $m \times m$ submatrix of C_j. If for all $m > t$ the matrices $C_{1,m \times m}, \ldots, C_{s,m \times m}$ generate an order α digital (t, m, s)-net over $\mathbb{F}_2$, then the digital sequence with generating matrices $C_1, \ldots, C_s$ is called an *order α digital (t, s)-sequence over* $\mathbb{F}_2$.

Explicit constructions of sequences satisfying Definition 3 were given in [7, 8]. This also shows that there are explicit constructions of order α digital (t, m, s)-nets for all m with t independent of m, but dependent on the dimension s. In the following we use that t depends only on s.

It follows from [8] that the left-upper submatrices $C_j^{(m \times m)}$ of the matrices C_j defined in Section satisfy the definition above. Our proof below uses the property of Definition 2.

2 The Walsh series of the $\mathcal{L}_q$ discrepancy function

2.1 Walsh functions

In this section we introduce Walsh functions in base 2 (see [5, 29]). In the following let $\mathbb{N}_0$ denote the set of non-negative integers.

Definition 4 For a non-negative integer k with base 2 representation

$$k = \kappa_{a-1} 2^{a-1} + \cdots + \kappa_1 2 + \kappa_0,$$

with $\kappa_i \in \{0, 1\}$, we define the Walsh function $\mathrm{wal}_k : [0, 1) \to \{-1, 1\}$ by

$$\mathrm{wal}_k(x) := (-1)^{x_1 \kappa_0 + \cdots + x_a \kappa_{a-1}},$$

for $x \in [0, 1)$ with base 2 representation $x = \frac{x_1}{2} + \frac{x_2}{2^2} + \cdots$ (unique in the sense that infinitely many of the x_i must be zero).

Definition 5 For dimension $s \geq 2$, $\boldsymbol{x} = (x_1, \ldots, x_s) \in [0, 1)^s$ and $\boldsymbol{k} = (k_1, \ldots, k_s) \in \mathbb{N}_0^s$ we define $\mathrm{wal}_{\boldsymbol{k}} : [0, 1)^s \to \{-1, 1\}$ by

$$\mathrm{wal}_{\boldsymbol{k}}(\boldsymbol{x}) := \prod_{j=1}^{s} \mathrm{wal}_{k_j}(x_j).$$

For more properties of Walsh functions see [5, 29] or also [10, Appendix A].

2.2 The Walsh series expansion of the $\mathcal{L}_q$ discrepancy function

We introduce some notation. In the following we identify $0, 1 \in \mathbb{F}_2$ with the integers $0, 1$. By $\oplus$ we denote the digit-wise addition modulo 2 , i.e., for the binary expansions $x = \sum_{i=w}^{\infty} \frac{x_i}{2^i}$ and $y = \sum_{i=w}^{\infty} \frac{y_i}{2^i}$ we have

$$x \oplus y := \sum_{i=w}^{\infty} \frac{z_i}{2^i}, \quad \text{where} \quad z_i := x_i + y_i \, (\mathrm{mod}\ 2).$$

Further we call $x \in [0, 1)$ a 2-adic rational if it can be written in a finite base 2 expansion.

Let $S = \{1, 2, \ldots, s\}$. Here and in the following let $k > 0$ have base 2 representation $k = \kappa_0 + \kappa_1 2 + \cdots + \kappa_{a-2} 2^{a-2} + 2^{a-1}$ with $\kappa_i \in \{0, 1\}$. We define the NRT weight function (Niederreiter [19] and Rosenbloom-Tsfasman [23]) weight)

$$\mu(k) = \begin{cases} a & \text{if } k > 0, \\ 0 & \text{if } k = 0. \end{cases}$$

For vectors $\boldsymbol{k} = (k_1, \ldots, k_s) \in \mathbb{N}_0^s$ we define

$$\mu(\boldsymbol{k}) = \mu(k_1) + \mu(k_2) + \cdots + \mu(k_s).$$

Further we define $k' = k - 2^{\mu(k)-1} = \kappa_0 + \kappa_1 2 + \cdots + \kappa_{a-2} 2^{a-2}$ and for $k = 0$ we set $k' = 0$. Further we set $\vec{k} = (\kappa_0, \kappa_1, \ldots, \kappa_{m-1})^\top \in \mathbb{F}_2^m$.

Let

$$1_{a \neq 0} = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } a \neq 0, \\ 0 & \text{if } a = 0. \end{cases}$$

For $\boldsymbol{a} = (a_1, \ldots, a_s)$, let $|\boldsymbol{a}|_1 = |a_1| + \cdots + |a_s|$, $1_{\boldsymbol{a} \neq \boldsymbol{0}} = (1_{a_1 \neq 0}, \ldots, 1_{a_s \neq 0})$, $|1_{\boldsymbol{a} \neq \boldsymbol{0}}|_1 = \sum_{j=1}^{s} 1_{a_j \neq 0}$, $\boldsymbol{a}_u = (a_j)_{j \in u}$, and $(\boldsymbol{a}_u, \boldsymbol{0})$ denote the vector whose jth component is a_j for $j \in u$ and 0 otherwise.

Further let $\boldsymbol{k} = (k_1, \ldots, k_s)$, $\nu(\boldsymbol{k}) = (\mu(k_1), \ldots, \mu(k_s))$, $\boldsymbol{k} \oplus \lfloor 2^{\boldsymbol{a} + \nu(\boldsymbol{k}) - \boldsymbol{1}} \rfloor = (k_1 \oplus \lfloor 2^{a_1 + \mu(k_1) - 1} \rfloor, \ldots, k_s \oplus \lfloor 2^{a_s + \mu(k_s) - 1} \rfloor)$ and $\boldsymbol{k}'_u = (k'_j)_{j \in u}$.

For generating matrices $C_1, \ldots, C_s \in \mathbb{F}_2^{m \times m}$ we define

$$\mathcal{D} = \mathcal{D}(C_1, \ldots, C_s) = \{\boldsymbol{k} \in \mathbb{N}_0^s : C_1^\top \vec{k}_1 \oplus \cdots \oplus C_s^\top \vec{k}_s = \vec{0} \in \mathbb{F}_2^m\},$$

where $\vec{0}$ denotes the zero vector in $\mathbb{F}_2^m$. Further we set $\mathcal{D}^* = \mathcal{D} \setminus \{\boldsymbol{0}\}$, where $\boldsymbol{0}$ denotes the zero-vector in $\mathbb{N}_0^s$.

We now obtain the Walsh series for the local discrepancy function.

Lemma 1 *The local discrepancy function has Walsh series expansion*

$$\delta(\mathcal{P}_{N,s}; \boldsymbol{\theta}) \sim \frac{1}{2^s N} \sum_{n=0}^{N-1} \sum_{\boldsymbol{k} \in \mathbb{N}_0^s \setminus \{\boldsymbol{0}\}} 2^{-\mu(\boldsymbol{k})} \mathrm{wal}_{\boldsymbol{k}}(\boldsymbol{x}_n) \sum_{\boldsymbol{a} \in \mathbb{N}_0^s} (-1)^{|1_{\boldsymbol{a} \neq \boldsymbol{0}}|_1} 2^{-|\boldsymbol{a}|_1} \mathrm{wal}_{\boldsymbol{k} \oplus \lfloor 2^{\boldsymbol{a} + \nu(\boldsymbol{k}) - \boldsymbol{1}} \rfloor}(\boldsymbol{\theta}).$$

Proof. It is well known that [14]

$$t = \sum_{a=0}^{\infty} (-1)^{1_{a \neq 0}} 2^{-a-1} \mathrm{wal}_{\lfloor 2^{a-1} \rfloor}(t).$$

The Walsh series expansion of the indicator function $\chi_{[0,t)}(x)$ can be obtained from [17, Lemma 2] and [17, Lemma 3] and is given by

$$\chi_{[0,t)}(x) \sim \sum_{a=0}^{\infty} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} (-1)^{1_{a \neq 0}} 2^{-a-1} 2^{-\mu(k)} \mathrm{wal}_k(x) \mathrm{wal}_{k \oplus \lfloor 2^{a+\mu(k)-1} \rfloor}(t).$$

By substituting these formulae into

$$\delta(\mathcal{P}_{2^m,s}; \boldsymbol{\theta}) = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{n=0}^{N-1} \left(\prod_{j=1}^{s} \chi_{[0,\theta_j)}(x_{n,j}) - \prod_{j=1}^{s} \theta_j \right)$$

we obtain the result. □

3 A bound on the $\mathcal{L}_q$ discrepancy of higher order digital sequences

In this section we prove a bound on the $\mathcal{L}_q$ discrepancy of the higher order digital sequences introduced in Section 1.3. The construction in Section 1.3 is extensible in the dimension, in this section we only deal with the projection of those infinite dimensional sequences onto the first s coordinates. To simplify the notation, we write $\boldsymbol{x}_0, \boldsymbol{x}_1, \ldots \in [0,1)^s$ in the following.

For $\boldsymbol{b} = (b_1, \ldots, b_s) \in \mathbb{N}_0^s$ we set $B(\boldsymbol{b}) = \{\boldsymbol{\ell} \in \mathbb{N}_0^s : \mu(\ell_j) = b_j \text{ for } 1 \le j \le s\}$. In our proof of Theorem 1 we rely on the following result from [27, Lemma 4.2], which is obtained from the Littlewood-Paley inequality for the Walsh function system, see [13], and Minkowski's inequality. See [27] for details.

Proposition 1 *Let $2 \le q < \infty$. For functions $f \in \mathcal{L}_q([0,1]^s)$ and $\boldsymbol{b} \in \mathbb{N}_0^s$ let*

$$\sigma_{\boldsymbol{b}} f(\boldsymbol{\theta}) = \sum_{\boldsymbol{\ell} \in B(\boldsymbol{b})} \widehat{f}(\boldsymbol{\ell}) \mathrm{wal}_{\boldsymbol{\ell}}(\boldsymbol{\theta}).$$

Then for any $f \in \mathcal{L}_q([0,1]^s)$ we have

$$\left(\int_{[0,1]^s} |f(\boldsymbol{\theta})|^q \,\mathrm{d}\boldsymbol{\theta} \right)^{1/q} \le c_{q,s} \left(\sum_{\boldsymbol{b} \in \mathbb{N}_0^s} \left(\int_{[0,1]^s} |\sigma_{\boldsymbol{b}} f(\boldsymbol{\theta})|^q \,\mathrm{d}\boldsymbol{\theta} \right)^{2/q} \right)^{1/2},$$

where $c_{q,s} \ge 1$ is a constant independent of f.

Theorem 2 *The $\mathcal{L}_q$ discrepancy of the first $N \ge 2$ points of an order 2 digital (t,s)-sequence $\mathcal{S}_s = (\boldsymbol{x}_0, \boldsymbol{x}_1, \ldots,)$ in $[0,1)^s$ over $\mathbb{F}_2$ is bounded by*

$$\mathcal{L}_q(\{\boldsymbol{x}_0, \boldsymbol{x}_1, \ldots, \boldsymbol{x}_{N-1}\}) \ll_{q,s} \frac{(\log N)^{s/2}}{N} \quad \text{for all } q > 2.$$

Proof. Let $N = 2^{m_1} + 2^{m_2} + \cdots + 2^{m_r}$ with $m_1 > m_2 > \cdots > m_r > 0$. Let

$$Q_h = \{\boldsymbol{x}_{2^{m_1}+\cdots+2^{m_{h-1}}}, \boldsymbol{x}_{2^{m_1}+\cdots+2^{m_{h-1}}+1}, \ldots, \boldsymbol{x}_{2^{m_1}+\cdots+2^{m_h}-1}\},$$

for $1 \le h \le r$, where for $h = 0$ we set $2^{m_1} + \cdots + 2^{m_{h-1}} = 0$. Then Q_h is a digitally shifted digital net over $\mathbb{F}_2$ [10, Section 4.4.6]. Assume that the digital shift is given by σ_h. Let $\mathcal{D}_h$ denote the dual net corresponding to Q_h, that is, let $C_j^{(m_h \times m_h)}$ denote the left upper submatrix of C_j. Then $\mathcal{D}_h = \mathcal{D}(C_1^{(m_h\times m_h)}, \ldots, C_s^{(m_h\times m_h)})$.

Using Lemma 1 we obtain the following expression for the Walsh series of the local discrepancy function $\delta(\mathcal{P}_{N,s};\boldsymbol{\theta})$

$$\begin{aligned}
&\frac{1}{2^s N}\sum_{n=0}^{N-1}\sum_{\boldsymbol{k}\in\mathbb{N}_0^s\setminus\{\boldsymbol{0}\}} 2^{-\mu(\boldsymbol{k})}\mathrm{wal}_{\boldsymbol{k}}(\boldsymbol{x}_n)\sum_{v\subseteq\{1,\ldots,s\}}(-1)^{|v|}\sum_{\boldsymbol{a}_v\in\mathbb{N}^{|v|}} 2^{-|\boldsymbol{a}_v|_1}\mathrm{wal}_{\boldsymbol{k}\oplus\lfloor 2^{(\boldsymbol{a}_v,\boldsymbol{0})+\nu(\boldsymbol{k})-\boldsymbol{1}}\rfloor}(\boldsymbol{\theta})\\
&=\sum_{\boldsymbol{\ell}\in\mathbb{N}_0^s}\mathrm{wal}_{\boldsymbol{\ell}}(\boldsymbol{\theta})\sum_{u\subseteq\{1,\ldots,s\}}(-1)^{s-|u|}\sum_{\boldsymbol{z}_u\in\mathbb{N}^{|u|}} 2^{-\mu(\boldsymbol{\ell})-|\boldsymbol{z}_u|_1-s}\frac{1}{N}\sum_{n=0}^{N-1}\mathrm{wal}_{\boldsymbol{\ell}\oplus\lfloor 2^{(\boldsymbol{z}_u,\boldsymbol{0})+\nu(\boldsymbol{\ell})-\boldsymbol{1}}\rfloor}(\boldsymbol{x}_n),
\end{aligned}$$

where $\mathcal{P}_{N,s} = \{\boldsymbol{x}_0, \ldots, \boldsymbol{x}_{N-1}\}$ and the empty sum is defined to be 0. Let

$$\sigma_{\boldsymbol{b}}(\boldsymbol{\theta}) = \sum_{\boldsymbol{\ell}\in B(\boldsymbol{b})} c(\boldsymbol{\ell})\mathrm{wal}_{\boldsymbol{\ell}}(\boldsymbol{\theta}),$$

where for $\boldsymbol{b} = (b_1, \ldots, b_s)$ we set $B(\boldsymbol{b}) = \{\boldsymbol{\ell} \in \mathbb{N}_0^s : \mu(\ell_j) = b_j \text{ for } 1 \le j \le s\}$ and

$$\begin{aligned}
c(\boldsymbol{\ell}) &= \sum_{u\subseteq\{1,\ldots,s\}}(-1)^{s-|u|}\sum_{\boldsymbol{z}_u\in\mathbb{N}^{|u|}} 2^{-\mu(\boldsymbol{\ell})-|\boldsymbol{z}_u|_1-s}\frac{1}{N}\sum_{n=0}^{N-1}\mathrm{wal}_{\boldsymbol{\ell}\oplus\lfloor 2^{(\boldsymbol{z}_u,\boldsymbol{0})+\nu(\boldsymbol{\ell})-\boldsymbol{1}}\rfloor}(\boldsymbol{x}_n)\\
&=\sum_{h=1}^{r}\frac{2^{m_h}}{N}\sum_{u\subseteq\{1,\ldots,s\}}(-1)^{s-|u|}\sum_{\substack{\boldsymbol{z}_u\in\mathbb{N}^{|u|}\\ \boldsymbol{\ell}\oplus\lfloor 2^{(\boldsymbol{z}_u,\boldsymbol{0})+\nu(\boldsymbol{\ell})-\boldsymbol{1}}\rfloor\in\mathcal{D}_h^*}} 2^{-\mu(\boldsymbol{\ell})-|\boldsymbol{z}_u|_1-s}\mathrm{wal}_{\boldsymbol{\ell}\oplus\lfloor 2^{(\boldsymbol{z}_u,\boldsymbol{0})+\nu(\boldsymbol{\ell})-\boldsymbol{1}}\rfloor}(\boldsymbol{\sigma}_h).
\end{aligned}$$

Thus we have

$$\delta(\mathcal{P}_{N,s};\boldsymbol{\theta}) \sim \sum_{\boldsymbol{b}\in\mathbb{N}_0^s\setminus\{\boldsymbol{0}\}}\sigma_{\boldsymbol{b}}(\boldsymbol{\theta}). \tag{3}$$

In the following we make use of the elementary inequality

$$\sum_{a=a_0}^{\infty} 2^{-a}\binom{a+s-1}{s-1} \le b^{-a_0}\binom{a_0+s-1}{s-1}2^s.$$

A proof can for instance be found in [18] or [11, Lemma 13.24].

For $\boldsymbol{\ell}_i \in B(\boldsymbol{b})$ we estimate the coefficients $c(\boldsymbol{\ell}_i)$. Let $\boldsymbol{\ell}_i = (\ell_{i,1}, \ldots, \ell_{i,s})$ and $\ell_{i,j} = 2^{w_{i,j,1}-1} + 2^{w_{i,j,2}-1} + \cdots + 2^{w_{i,j,r_{i,j}}-1}$ where $w_{i,j,1} > w_{i,j,2} > \cdots > w_{i,j,r_{i,j}} > 0$. By the order 2 digital net property and $\boldsymbol{\ell}_i \oplus \lfloor 2^{(\boldsymbol{z}_u,\boldsymbol{0})+\nu(\boldsymbol{\ell})-\boldsymbol{1}}\rfloor \in \mathcal{D}_h^*$ for $\boldsymbol{z}_u \in \mathbb{N}^{|u|}$ we have

$$2m_h - t + 1 \le \sum_{j\in u}(2\mu(\ell_{i,j}) + z_j) + \sum_{j\in S\setminus u}(w_{i,j,2} + w_{i,j,3}) \le 2\mu(\boldsymbol{\ell}_i) + |\boldsymbol{z}_u|_1.$$

Since $\mu(\boldsymbol{\ell}_i) = |\boldsymbol{b}|_1$ we obtain

$$|\boldsymbol{z}_u|_1 \ge 2m_h - t + 1 - 2|\boldsymbol{b}|_1.$$

Thus

$$\begin{aligned}
|c(\boldsymbol{\ell}_i)| &\ll_s \sum_{h=1}^{r} \frac{2^{m_h}}{N} \sum_{\substack{\boldsymbol{z}_u \in \mathbb{N}_0^s \\ \boldsymbol{\ell}_i \oplus \lfloor 2^{(\boldsymbol{z}_u, \boldsymbol{0}) + \nu(\boldsymbol{\ell}_i) - \boldsymbol{1}} \rfloor \in \mathcal{D}_h^*}} 2^{-\mu(\boldsymbol{\ell}_i) - |\boldsymbol{z}_u|_1} \\
&\ll_s \sum_{h=1}^{r} \frac{2^{m_h}}{N} 2^{-|\boldsymbol{b}|_1} \min \Bigg\{ \sum_{\boldsymbol{z} \in \mathbb{N}_0^s} 2^{-|\boldsymbol{z}|_1}, \\
&\qquad \sum_{a = 2m_h - t + 1 - 2|\boldsymbol{b}|_1}^{\infty} 2^{-a} \binom{a - 2m_h + t - 1 + 2|\boldsymbol{b}|_1 + s - 1}{s-1} \Bigg\} \\
&\ll_s \sum_{h=1}^{r} \frac{2^{m_h}}{N} 2^{-|\boldsymbol{b}|_1} \min\{1, 2^{-2m_h + t - 1 + 2|\boldsymbol{b}|_1}\} \\
&\ll_s \frac{1}{N} \sum_{h=1}^{r} 2^{m_h - |\boldsymbol{b}|_1} 2^{-2(m_h - |\boldsymbol{b}|_1)_+},
\end{aligned}$$

where we used that t depends only on the dimension s.

Using Proposition 1 applied to the local discrepancy function $\delta(\mathcal{P}_{N,s};\cdot)$ we obtain

$$\mathcal{L}_q^2(\mathcal{P}_{2^m,s}) \le c_{q,s}^2 \sum_{\boldsymbol{b} \in \mathbb{N}_0^s} \left(\int_{[0,1]^s} |\sigma_{\boldsymbol{b}}(\boldsymbol{\theta})|^q \,\mathrm{d}\boldsymbol{\theta} \right)^{2/q}. \tag{4}$$

We have

$$\begin{aligned}
\int_{[0,1]^s} |\sigma_{\boldsymbol{b}}(\boldsymbol{\theta})|^q \,\mathrm{d}\boldsymbol{\theta} &= \sum_{\boldsymbol{\ell}_1, \ldots, \boldsymbol{\ell}_q \in B(\boldsymbol{b})} \prod_{i=1}^{q} c(\boldsymbol{\ell}_i) \int_{[0,1]^s} \prod_{i=1}^{q} \mathrm{wal}_{\boldsymbol{\ell}_i}(\boldsymbol{\theta}) \,\mathrm{d}\boldsymbol{\theta} \\
&= \sum_{\boldsymbol{\ell}_1, \ldots, \boldsymbol{\ell}_{q-1} \in B(\boldsymbol{b})} c(\boldsymbol{\ell}_1 \oplus \cdots \oplus \boldsymbol{\ell}_{q-1}) \prod_{i=1}^{q-1} c(\boldsymbol{\ell}_i).
\end{aligned}$$

Note that for $\boldsymbol{\ell}_1, \ldots, \boldsymbol{\ell}_{q-1} \in B(\boldsymbol{b})$ and q even we have $\mu(\boldsymbol{\ell}_1 \oplus \cdots \oplus \boldsymbol{\ell}_{q-1}) = |\boldsymbol{b}|_1$. Therefore we have

$$c(\boldsymbol{\ell}_1 \oplus \cdots \oplus \boldsymbol{\ell}_{q-1}) \ll_s \frac{1}{N} \sum_{h=1}^{r} 2^{m_h - |\boldsymbol{b}|_1} 2^{-2(m_h - |\boldsymbol{b}|_1)_+}$$

Let $1 \le i < q$ and $\boldsymbol{z} \in \mathbb{N}_0^s$ be fixed. We count the number of $\boldsymbol{\ell}_i \in \mathbb{N}_0^s$ such that $\boldsymbol{\ell}_i \oplus 2^{\boldsymbol{z} + \nu(\boldsymbol{\ell}_i) - \boldsymbol{1}} \in \mathcal{D}_h^*$. Let $C_1, \ldots, C_s$ denote the generating matrices of the digital net and let $\vec{c}_{j,k}$ denote the kth row of C_j. The condition $\boldsymbol{\ell}_i \oplus 2^{\boldsymbol{z} + \nu(\boldsymbol{\ell}_i) - \boldsymbol{1}} \in \mathcal{D}_h^*$ translates into the system of equations

$$\begin{aligned}
&\vec{c}_{1,1} \ell_{i,1,0} + \cdots + \vec{c}_{1,w_{i,1}-1} \ell_{1,w_{i,1}-2} + \vec{c}_{1,w_{i,1,1}} + \\
&\vec{c}_{2,1} \ell_{2,0} + \cdots + \vec{c}_{2,a_{2,2}-1} \ell_{2,w_{i,2,1}-2} + \vec{c}_{2,w_{i,2,1}} + \\
&\qquad \vdots \\
&\vec{c}_{s,1} \ell_{s,0} + \cdots + \vec{c}_{s,a_{s,2}-1} \ell_{s,w_{i,s,1}-2} + \vec{c}_{s,w_{i,s,1}} \quad = \quad \vec{c},
\end{aligned} \tag{5}$$

where the vector $\vec{c}$ on the right hand side is fixed by $\boldsymbol{z}$. Using the linear independence properties of this system given by the digital net property, it follows that the number of solutions is at most

$$2^{(\mu(\boldsymbol{\ell}_i) - m_h + t - 1)_+} = 2^{(|\boldsymbol{b}|_1 - m_h + t - 1)_+} \le 2^{t-1} 2^{(|\boldsymbol{b}|_1 - m)_+},$$

where $(x)_+ = \max\{x, 0\}$. Therefore

$$\sum_{\boldsymbol{\ell}_i \in B(\boldsymbol{b})} \sum_{\substack{\boldsymbol{z} \in \mathbb{N}_0^s \\ (\boldsymbol{\ell}_i \oplus 2^{\boldsymbol{z}+\nu(\boldsymbol{\ell}_i)-\boldsymbol{1}}) \in \mathcal{D}_h^*}} 2^{-|\boldsymbol{b}|_1 - |\boldsymbol{z}|_1} \leq 2^{-|\boldsymbol{b}|_1 + t - 1} \sum_{\substack{\boldsymbol{z} \in \mathbb{N}_0^s \\ |\boldsymbol{z}|_1 \geq 2m_h - t + 1 - 2|\boldsymbol{b}|_1}} 2^{-|\boldsymbol{z}|_1} 2^{(|\boldsymbol{b}|_1 - m_h)_+}.$$

If $|\boldsymbol{b}|_1 \geq m_h$, then the above sum is bounded by

$$2^{-m_h + t - 1} \sum_{\boldsymbol{z} \in \mathbb{N}_0^s} 2^{-|\boldsymbol{z}|_1} = 2^{-m_h + t - 1 + s} \ll_s 2^{-m_h}.$$

If $|\boldsymbol{b}|_1 < m_h$, then the above sum is bounded by

$$\begin{aligned} 2^{-|\boldsymbol{b}|_1} \sum_{\substack{\boldsymbol{z} \in \mathbb{N}_0^s \\ |\boldsymbol{z}|_1 \geq 2m_h - t + 1 - 2|\boldsymbol{b}|_1}} 2^{-|\boldsymbol{z}|_1} &\leq 2^{-|\boldsymbol{b}|_1} \sum_{a = 2m_h - t + 1 - 2|\boldsymbol{b}|_1}^{\infty} 2^{-a} \binom{a + s - 1}{s - 1} \\ &\ll_s 2^{-2m_h + |\boldsymbol{b}|_1} \binom{2m_h - 2|\boldsymbol{b}|_1 + s - t}{s - 1}, \end{aligned}$$

where we set $\binom{n}{k} = 0$ for $n < k$.

Let $h_0 = h_0(|\boldsymbol{b}|_1)$ with $0 \leq h_0 \leq r$ be such that $m_{h_0} > |\boldsymbol{b}|_1 \geq m_{h_0+1}$, where $m_0 = \infty$ and $m_{r+1} = 0$. Thus we have

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{[0,1]^s} |\sigma_{\boldsymbol{b}}(\boldsymbol{\theta})|^q \,\mathrm{d}\boldsymbol{\theta} &\ll_{q,s} \frac{1}{N} \sum_{h=1}^{r} 2^{m_h - |\boldsymbol{b}|_1 - 2(m_h - |\boldsymbol{b}|_1)_+} \\ &\qquad \left(\frac{1}{N} \sum_{h = h_0(|\boldsymbol{b}|_1) + 1}^{r} 1 + \frac{1}{N} \sum_{h=1}^{h_0(|\boldsymbol{b}|_1)} 2^{|\boldsymbol{b}| - m_h} \binom{2m_h - 2|\boldsymbol{b}|_1 + s - t}{s - 1} \right)^{q-1} \\ &\ll_{q,s} \frac{1}{N^q} \sum_{h=1}^{r} 2^{m_h - |\boldsymbol{b}|_1 - 2(m_h - |\boldsymbol{b}|_1)_+} \\ &\qquad \left((r - h_0(|\boldsymbol{b}|_1))_+ + \sum_{h=1}^{h_0(|\boldsymbol{b}|_1)} 2^{|\boldsymbol{b}| - m_h} \binom{2m_h - 2|\boldsymbol{b}|_1 + s - t}{s - 1} \right)^{q-1}. \end{aligned}$$

We have

$$\sum_{h=1}^{h_0(|\boldsymbol{b}|_1)} 2^{|\boldsymbol{b}| - m_h} \binom{2m_h - 2|\boldsymbol{b}|_1 + s - t}{s - 1} \leq \sum_{a=1}^{\infty} 2^{-a} \binom{2a + s - t}{s - 1} \ll_s 1.$$

Using (4) we obtain that

$$\begin{aligned} N^2 \mathcal{L}_q^2(\mathcal{P}_{N,s}) \ll_{q,s} & \sum_{a=0}^{m_1} \Big(\sum_{h=1}^{r} 2^{m_h - a - 2(m_h - a)_+} \Big)^{2/q} \binom{a + s - 1}{s - 1} (1 + (r - h_0(a))_+)^{2(1 - 1/q)} \\ & + \sum_{a = m_1 + 1}^{\infty} \Big(\sum_{h=1}^{r} 2^{m_h - a - 2(m_h - a)_+} \Big)^{2/q} \binom{a + s - 1}{s - 1} r^{2(1 - 1/q)}. \end{aligned}$$

For $0 \leq a \leq m_1$ we have

$$\sum_{h=1}^{r} 2^{m_h - a - 2(m_h-a)_+} \leq \sum_{h=1}^{h_0(a)} 2^{-m_h+a} + \sum_{h=h_0(a)+1}^{r} 2^{m_h-a} \leq \sum_{c=1}^{\infty} 2^{-c} + \sum_{c=0}^{\infty} 2^{-c} = 3.$$

For $a > m_1$ we have

$$\sum_{h=1}^{r} 2^{m_h - a - 2(m_h-a)_+} = \sum_{h=1}^{r} 2^{m_h-a} \leq \sum_{c=1}^{\infty} 2^{-c} = 1.$$

Thus we have

$$\begin{aligned} N^2 \mathcal{L}_q^2(\mathcal{P}_{N,s}) &\ll_{q,s} \sum_{a=0}^{m_1} \binom{a+s-1}{s-1} (1 + (r - h_0(a))_+)^{2/q} + \sum_{a=m_1+1}^{\infty} \binom{a+s-1}{s-1} r^{2/q} \\ &\ll_{q,s} \sum_{a=0}^{m_1} (m_1 - a + 1)^{2/q} \binom{a+s-1}{s-1} + r^{2/q} \binom{m_1+s-1}{s-1} \\ &\ll_{q,s} m_1^{2/q+s}. \end{aligned}$$

Thus we obtain

$$\mathcal{L}_q(\mathcal{P}_{N,s}) \ll_{q,s} \frac{(\log N)^{s/2+1/q}}{N}.$$

Let $2 \leq q_0 < \infty$ and $\varepsilon > 0$ be given and assume that there is a constant $c_{s,q_0} > 0$ such that for infinitely many N we have

$$\mathcal{L}_{q_0}(\mathcal{P}_{N_k,s}) \geq c_{s,q_0} \frac{(\log N_k)^{s/2+\varepsilon}}{N_k} \tag{6}$$

for all $k \in \mathbb{N}$ with $N_1 < N_2 < \cdots$.

Let $q_0 \leq q < \infty$ be such that $1/q < \varepsilon$. Then for some constant $C_{s,q} > 0$ we have

$$c_{s,q} \frac{(\log N_k)^{s/2+\varepsilon}}{N_k} \leq \mathcal{L}_{q_0}(\mathcal{P}_{N_k,s}) \leq \mathcal{L}_q(\mathcal{P}_{N_k,s}) \leq C_{s,q} \frac{(\log N_k)^{s/2+1/q}}{N_k},$$

for all $k \in \mathbb{N}$. Thus

$$0 < c_{s,q} \leq C_{s,q} (\log N_k)^{1/q-\varepsilon} \to 0 \text{ as } k \to \infty,$$

which yields a contradiction. Thus (6) can hold at most for finitely many N. By choosing a suitable constant, the result then follows. □

In the following we show that for infinitely many N one has a better bound. This result implies the second part of Theorem 1.

Theorem 3 *Let $\mathcal{P}_{2^m,s}$ be a order 2 digital net. Then*

$$\mathcal{L}_q(\mathcal{P}_{2^m,s}) \ll_{s,q} \frac{m^{(s-1)/2}}{2^m} \quad \textit{for all } 2 \leq q < \infty.$$

Proof. We use the proof of the previous theorem with $r = 1$ where $m = m_1$. For $|\boldsymbol{b}|_1 < m$ we then have

$$\int_{[0,1]^s} |\sigma_{\boldsymbol{b}}(\boldsymbol{\theta})|^q \,\mathrm{d}\boldsymbol{\theta} \ll_s 2^{q(-2m+|\boldsymbol{b}|_1)} \binom{2m - 2|\boldsymbol{b}|_1 + s - t}{s-1}^{q-1}$$

and if $|\boldsymbol{b}|_1 \geq m$ we have

$$\int_{[0,1]^s} |\sigma_{\boldsymbol{b}}(\boldsymbol{\theta})|^q \,\mathrm{d}\boldsymbol{\theta} \ll_s 2^{-m(q-1)} 2^{-|\boldsymbol{b}|_1}.$$

Using (4) we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} 2^{2m} \mathcal{L}_q^2(\mathcal{P}_{2^m,s}) \ll_{q,s} & \sum_{a=0}^{m-1} 2^{-2m+2a} \binom{2m-2a+s-t}{s-1}^{2-2/q} \binom{a-s+1}{s-1} \\ & + \sum_{a=m}^{\infty} 2^{2(m-a)/q} \binom{a+s-1}{s-1} \\ & \ll_s \binom{m+s-1}{s-1} \sum_{a=0}^{m-1} 2^{2a-2m} \left(1 + \binom{2m-2a+s-t}{s-1}^{2-2/q}\right). \end{aligned}$$

We have

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{a=0}^{m-1} 2^{2a-2m} \left(1 + \binom{2m-2a+s-t}{s-1}^{2-2/q}\right) \leq & \sum_{c=1}^{\infty} 2^{-2c} \left(1 + \binom{2c+s-t}{s-1}^{2}\right) \\ \ll_s & 1. \end{aligned}$$

Thus we have

$$\mathcal{L}_q(\mathcal{P}_{2^m,s}) \ll_{q,s} \frac{m^{(s-1)/2}}{2^m}.$$

□

Corollary 2 *For each $s \geq 1$ and $N \geq 2$ one can explicitly construct a point set $\mathcal{P}_{N,s} \subset [0,1)^s$ such that*

$$\mathcal{L}_q(\mathcal{P}_{N,s}) \ll_{s,q} \frac{(\log N)^{(s-1)/2}}{N} \quad \text{for all } 2 \leq q < \infty.$$

Proof. For given $N \geq 2$ choose $m \geq 1$ such that $2^{m-1} \leq N < 2^m$. Then $\frac{2^m}{N} \leq 2$. The point set $\mathcal{P}_{N,s}$ given by (2) contains exactly N points, since the projection of $\mathscr{D}_2(\mathcal{P}_{2^m,2s})$ onto the first coordinate yields the point set $\{0, 2^{-m}, 2^{-m+1}, \cdots 1-2^{-m}\}$ which follows from [9, Proposition 1].

Let $A([\boldsymbol{0},\boldsymbol{\theta}), N, \mathcal{P}_{N,s}) = \sum_{n=0}^{N-1} \chi_{[\boldsymbol{0},\boldsymbol{\theta})}(\boldsymbol{x}_n)$. Then we have

$$\begin{aligned} & (N\mathcal{L}_q(\mathscr{D}_2(\mathcal{P}_{2^m,2s})))^q = \int_{[0,1]^s} |\delta(\mathscr{D}_2(\mathcal{P}_{2^m,2s}); \boldsymbol{\theta})|^q \,\mathrm{d}\boldsymbol{\theta} \\ = & \int_{[0,1]^s} \left| A([0, N2^{-m}\theta_1) \times \prod_{j=2}^{s} [0,\theta_j), N, \widetilde{\mathcal{P}}_{N,s}) - 2^m \frac{N}{2^m} \theta_1 \theta_2 \cdots \theta_s \right|^q \,\mathrm{d}\boldsymbol{\theta} \\ = & \frac{2^m}{N} \int_0^{N2^{-m}} \int_{[0,1]^{s-1}} \left| A([0,\boldsymbol{\theta}), N, \widetilde{\mathcal{P}}_{N,s}) - 2^m \theta_1 \theta_2 \cdots \theta_s \right|^q \,\mathrm{d}\boldsymbol{\theta} \end{aligned}$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
=&\frac{2^m}{N}\int_0^{N2^{-m}}\int_{[0,1]^{s-1}}|A([0,\boldsymbol{\theta}),N,\mathscr{D}_2(\mathcal{P}_{N,2s}))-2^m\theta_1\theta_2\cdots\theta_s|^q\,\mathrm{d}\boldsymbol{\theta}\\
\le&\frac{2^m}{N}(2^m\mathcal{L}_q(\mathcal{P}_{2^m,s}))^q.
\end{aligned}
$$

Thus we have

$$\mathcal{L}_q(\mathcal{P}_{N,s})\ll_s 2^{1/q}\frac{m^{(s-1)/2}}{N}\le\frac{(\log N)^{(s-1)/2}}{N}.$$

□

4 Acknowledgements

The author acknowledges the valuable discussions with F. Pillichshammer and H. Niederreiter which led to the results in this paper.

References

[1] J. Beck and W. W. L. Chen, *Irregularities of Distribution.* Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1987.

[2] D. Bilyk, M. T. Lacey, On the small ball inequality in three dimensions. Duke Math. J. **143** (2008), 81–115.

[3] D. Bilyk, M. T. Lacey, A. Vagharshakyan, On the small ball inequality in all dimensions. J. Funct. Anal. **254** (2008), 2470–2502.

[4] W. W. L. Chen and M. M. Skriganov, Explicit constructions in the classical mean squares problem in irregularity of point distribution. J. Reine Angew. Math., **545** (2002), 67–95.

[5] H.E. Chrestenson, A class of generalized Walsh functions, Pacific J. Math., **5** (1955), 17–31.

[6] H. Davenport, Note on irregularities of distribution. Mathematika, **3**, 131–135, 1956.

[7] J. Dick, Explicit constructions of quasi-Monte Carlo rules for the numerical integration of high-dimensional periodic functions. SIAM J. Numer. Anal., **45** (2007), 2141–2176.

[8] J. Dick, Walsh spaces containing smooth functions and quasi-Monte Carlo rules of arbitrary high order. SIAM J. Numer. Anal., **46** (2008), 1519–1553.

[9] J. Dick, On quasi-Monte Carlo rules achieving higher order convergence. Monte Carlo and quasi-Monte Carlo methods 2008, 73–96, Springer, Berlin, 2009.

[10] J. Dick and F. Pillichshammer, *Digital nets and Sequences. Discrepancy theory and quasi-Monte Carlo Integration.* Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2010.

[11] J. Dick and F. Pillichshammer, Optimal $\mathcal{L}_2$ discrepancy bounds for higher order digital sequences over the finite field $\mathbb{F}_2$, submitted, 2012.

[12] M. Drmota and R. F. Tichy, *Sequences, discrepancies and applications.* Lecture Notes in Mathematics 1651, Springer Verlag, Berlin, 1997.

[13] R. E. Edwards and G. I. Gaudry, *Littlewood-Paley and multiplier theory*, Springer, Ser. Modern Surv. Math. **90**, Berlin, 1977.

[14] N. J. Fine, On the Walsh functions. Trans. Amer. Math. Soc., **65** (1949), 372–414.

[15] K. K. Frolov, Upper bound of the discrepancy in metric L_p, $2 \leq p < \infty$, Dokl. Akad. Nauk SSSR, **252**, 805–807, 1980.

[16] L. Kuipers and H. Niederreiter, *Uniform Distribution of Sequences.* John Wiley, New York, 1974.

[17] G. Larcher and F. Pillichshammer, Walsh series analysis of the $\mathcal{L}_2$-discrepancy of symmetrisized point sets. Monatsh. Math., **132** (2001), 1–18.

[18] J. Matoušek, *Geometric discrepancy. An illustrated guide.* Algorithms and Combinatorics, 18. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1999.

[19] H. Niederreiter, Low-discrepancy point sets, Monatsh. Math., **102** (1986), 155–167.

[20] H. Niederreiter, *Random Number Generation and Quasi-Monte Carlo Methods.* No. 63 in CBMS-NSF Series in Applied Mathematics, SIAM, Philadelphia, 1992.

[21] H. Niederreiter and C. P. Xing, Low-discrepancy sequences and global function fields with many rational places. Finite Fields Appl., **2** (1996), 241–273.

[22] P.D. Proinov, On the L^2 discrepancy of some infinite sequences. Serdica, **11**, 3–12, 1985.

[23] M. Yu. Rosenbloom and M. A. Tsfasman, Codes for the m-metric. Problems of Information Transmission, 33, 55–63, 1997.

[24] K. F. Roth, On irregularities of distribution. Mathematika, **1** (1954), 73–79.

[25] K. F. Roth, On irregularities of distribution III, Acta Arith., **35**, 373–384, 1979.

[26] K. F. Roth, On irregularities of distribution IV. Acta Arith., **37**, 67–75, 1980.

[27] M. M. Skriganov, Harmonic analysis on totally disconnected groups and irregularities of point distributions. J. Reine Angew. Math., **600** (2006), 25–49.

[28] I. M. Sobol, The distribution of points in a cube and the approximate evaluation of integrals, Zh. Vychisl. Mat. i Mat. Fiz., **7** (1967), 784–802.

[29] J. L. Walsh, A closed set of normal orthogonal functions. Amer. J. Math., **55** (1923), 5–24.

Author's Address:

Josef Dick, School of Mathematics, University of New South Wales, Sydney 2052, Australia.
Email: josef.dick@unsw.edu.au